

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA

3 \* \* \*

4 KENTRELL WELCH,

5 Plaintiff,

6 v.

7 ISIDRO BACA, *et al.*,

8 Defendants.

Case No. 3:20-CV-0079-MMD-CLB

**ORDER DENYING MOTION TO COMPEL**

[ECF Nos. 169, 170]

9  
10 Before the Court is Plaintiff Kentrell Welch's ("Welch") motion to compel. (ECF  
11 Nos. 169, 170.)<sup>1</sup> Welch is seeking an order requiring Defendants to answer his third set  
12 of requests for production. Defendants returned the third set to Welch with a letter dated  
13 November 17, 2021 advising Welch that he had exceeded the twenty-five of requests for  
14 production that the Court limited in its scheduling order, (ECF No. 145). (ECF No. 170,  
15 pg. 6.)

16 Not only did Welch fail to meet and confer with opposing counsel in accordance  
17 with LR 16-1(c) before filing this motion, but Defendants are also correct in their letter  
18 dated November 17, 2021. (ECF No. 170.) The Court's scheduling order limited Welch to  
19 "twenty-five written requests for production, including discreet subparts" and Welch will  
20 not be permitted to exceed that number. (ECF No. 145.) Therefore, Welch's motion to  
21 compel is **DENIED**. (ECF Nos. 169, 170.)

22 **DATED:** December 6, 2021.

23   
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

24  
25  
26  
27  
28 <sup>1</sup> The Court construes Welch's supporting motion to compel, (ECF No. 170), as  
points and authorities in support of his motion to compel. (ECF No. 169.)